

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vingnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/871,600	05/31/2001	Akira Arai	9319A-000222	8588
27572	7590 06/20/2003	•		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIEL	B LD HILLS, MI 48303	HILLS, MI 48303 SHEEHAN, JOHN P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	16
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003	J.X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/871,600	ARAI ET AL.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
•	John P. Sheehan	1742	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sh et with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper relich places the application	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date o b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in that an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the distance of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s): See Continuation Shee	<u>t</u> .	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d ameṇdment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·—	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10, 12-14, 24-30 and 32-34.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		John P. Sheehan Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1742	2

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed claim language, "where R is at least one rare element that consists of Nd and Pr" raises a new issue. The phrase, "R is at least one element" encompsses Nd and Pr each alone or a combination of Nd and Pr, however, the phrase, "consists of Nd and Pr" with no introductory phrase such as --selected from the group that-- appears to limit the R component to a combination of Nd and Pr without the embodiments wherein Nd and Pr are used alone. In view of this conflict the proposed amendment raises an issue under 35 USC 112, paragraph 2.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The terminal disclaimer submitted June 12, 2003 overcomes all the provisional obvious double patenting rejections set forth in the final rejection mailed March 11, 2003.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments regarding Mohri et al. and Panchanathan are moot in veiw of the fact that the they are based on the proposed amendment which has not been entered. Further, the arguments regarding Panchanathan and a difference in coercivity are not persuasive in that the coercive force recited in the applicants' claims is not the coercive force of the claimed magnetic powder but rather is the coercive force of a bonded magnet made from the claimed powder. The properties of the bonded magnet made from applicants' claimed powder would be expected to be a function of the process used to make the bonded magnet, such as for example, the amount of binder used, the final density, the curing temperature of the binder, etc. In view of this, the coercive force recited in the instant claims and the claims proposed in applicants response submitted June 12, 2003 is not considered to distinguish the claimed alloy powder from Panchanathan's powder.

Application/Control Number: 09/871,600

Art Unit: 1742

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed June 12, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. It is noted that that applicants submitted the IDS under 37 CFR 1.97(C) stating that the IDS was submitted before a final rejection, however a final rejection in this application was mailed March 11, 2003. Accordingly, the IDS must comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d).

Applicants are advised that if the IDS is resubmitted it fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of the Korean Office Action in the corresponding Korean Patent Application No. 2001-0030467.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Sheehan whose telephone number is (703) 308-3861. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (6:30-5:00) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (703) 308-1146. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 1742

Page 3

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

John P. Sheehan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

jps June 19, 2003